

Formal Methods for the Control of Large-scale Networked Nonlinear Systems with Logic Specifications





Basilica di Santa Maria di Collemaggio, L'Aquila (Italy), 1287

Speaker: Maria Domenica Di Benedetto

Lecture L1: Introduction

Organizers and Speakers



Maria Domenica Di Benedetto

Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienze dell'informazione e Matematica Center of Excellence DEWS University of L'Aquila, Italy mariadomenica.dibenedetto@univaq.it



Giordano Pola

Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienze dell'informazione e Matematica Center of Excellence DEWS University of L'Aquila, Italy giordano.pola@univaq.it



Pierdomenico Pepe

Dipartimento di Ingegneria e Scienze dell'informazione e Matematica Center of Excellence DEWS University of L'Aquila, Italy pierdomenico.pepe@univaq.it



Alessandro Borri

Istituto di Analisi dei Sistemi ed Informatica "A. Ruberti" (IASI) Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Rome, Italy <u>alessandro.borri@iasi.cnr.it</u> What is a Cyber-Physical System (CPS)?

First generation CPS (Embedded Systems):

Computational systems, but not stand alone computers, interfacing sensors and actuators, reactive to physical environment stimuli, designed to perform one or a few dedicated functions, often with realtime computing constraints

Focus on the computation





- Second generation CPS: more importance to the link and communication between computational and physical elements
- CPSoS (European working group CPSoS, <u>www.cpsos.eu</u>) are large-scale, complex, heterogeneous, distributed and networked systems
- Tight combination of, and coordination between, physical process and computational and communication components



SoS: Autonomy of the subsystems, dynamic reconfiguration, emerging behaviors **Tight interaction** of many distributed, real-time computing systems and physical systems

Examples

- Airplanes
- Cars
- Buildings with advanced HVAC controls
- Manufacturing plants
- Power plants





Cyber-Physical Systems of Systems

- "Are today's resources and knowledge in control, communications and computing adequate to deal with such a kind of complex systems?"
 - complex systems?" (E. Lee, UC Berkeley)





Heterogeneous systems, the motivation for hybrid models

 Combination of discrete and continuous time with a prescribed hierarchy



Models with "heterogeneous components"



- Continuous systems with a phased operation:
 - walking robots
 - biological cell growth and division
- Continuous systems controlled by discrete logic: need for design techniques that can guarantee safety and performance specifications of *embedded systems*, or systems that couple discrete logic with the analog physical environment.
 - thermostat
 - chemical plants with valves, pumps
 - control modes for complex systems, eg. intelligent cruise control in automobiles, aircraft autopilot modes
- Coordinating processes: many interacting subsystems (multiagent systems) typically feature continuous controllers to optimize performance of individual agents, and coordination among agents to compete for scarce resources, resolve conflicts, etc.
 - air and ground transportation systems
 - swarms of micro-air vehicles



Finite state machines



- 1. Insert coin
- 2. Pull handle
- 3. Win if the combination is good, otherwise lose



Slot machine

- Events are time-abstract
- Events are not necessarily equipped with any notion of 'internalexternal' or 'input-output'
- Compositionality is possible
- There can be non-determinism
- Just like modeling of continuous systems, the level of detail is 'modeler dependent'

Physical System - Software Interaction

- Ariane V launched on 4th June 1996. It exploded 37s after launch
- The program had been running for 10 years, costing \$7 billions
- Software worked perfectly on Ariane IV, the same was used in Ariane V

What had changed, was the physical system around the software ...



Nonidealities in communication infrastructures:

- Quantization errors
- Time-varying network access times
- Time-varying communication delays induced by the network
- Limited bandwidth
- Packet losses
- •

(e.g. [Andersson, IEEE-CDC-05], [Antsaklis, IEEE-TAC-04],

[Heemels, IEEE-TAC-10], [Hespanha, Proc. IEEE-07], [Murray, SMTNS-06])



Logic specifications





Logic specifications

Automata theory, Linear Temporal Logic, Computational Tree Logic, Metric Temporal Logic ...

Examples:

. . .

- Language specifications
- Synchronization specifications
- Obstacle avoidance
- Switching specifications



12/34

 $\varphi = \forall (\neg \phi_1 \land \neg \phi_2 U_{t \ge 0} (\neg \phi_1 \land \phi_2 U_{60 \le t \le 180} \phi_1 \land \phi_2))$ [D'Innocenzo, Julius, Pappas, Di Benedetto, Di Gennaro; IEEE-CDC-2007]

Summarizing critical aspects of CPSoS ...

- <u>Heterogeneity</u>: plants, controllers and specifications described in different mathematical frameworks
- <u>Non-ideal communication infrastructure</u>: control action delivered with delay on the basis of delayed and corrupted measure of the states of the plants, lack of information (packet drops), etc.
- <u>Complexity</u>: large number of systems composed of several, possibly distributed sub-systems
- Logic specifications

Control Theory

Computer Science



Communication Systems



Heterogeneous, large-scale networked control systems

- Resource aware control
- Distributed control
- Networked control systems

(see e.g. 7th PhD School on CPS, Lucca, June 12-15, 2017)

- Co-design of physical, computational and communication systems
- Formal methods

Projects funded in the USA

- Correct-by-Design Control Software Synthesis for Highly Dynamic Systems (NSF 1239085)
- Towards robust cyber-physical systems (NSF 1035916)
- Closing the gap in controller synthesis (NSF 0953994)
- Automated Synthesis of Embedded Control Software (NSF 0717188)
- Formal Methods for Motion Planning and Control with Human-in-the-Loop (NSF NRI-1426907)
- A formal approach to control of hybrid systems (NSF CNS-0834260), etc.

Special issues

- Symbolic Methods for Complex Control Systems (IEEE-TAC 2006)
- Formal Methods in Control (Journal of DEDS 2016), etc.

Plenary lectures

- Tabuada, Bisimulation: From Differential Equations to Finite-State Machines and Back (ACC 2010)
- Pappas, Approximate Bisimulation: A Bridge Between Computer Science and Control Theory (CDC 2011)
- Di Benedetto Pola, Networked Embedded Control Systems: from Modelling to Implementation (ICSCS 2012), etc.

Research at DEWS



Formal methods: a tool to tame heterogeneity ...

Key ídea: homogenízíng heterogeneities ín the formal description of plants controllers and specifications

Bridget Riley, Movement in Squares, 1961

... from continuous to discrete systems!

<u>A three phases process :</u>

#1. Construct the finite/symbolic model T approximating the plant system P#2. Design a finite/symbolic controller C that solves the specification S for T#3. Refine the controller C to the controller C' to be applied to P



Correct-by-design embedded control software

A three phases process :

#1. Construct the finite/symbolic model T approximating the plant system P#2. Design a finite/symbolic controller C that solves the specification S for T#3. Refine the controller C to the controller C' to be applied to P



Advantages :

- Integration of software and hardware constraints in the control design of purely continuous processes
- Logic specifications can be addressed

... towards

Controlling Large-scale networked nonlinear systems with logic specifications





Distributed Control Architecture



Decentralized Control Architecture





Plant P_i : nonlinear time-delay system

$$P_i:\begin{cases} \dot{x_i}(t) = f_i(x_i(t), x_i(t - \Delta_i(t)), x'_j(t), \dots, u_i(t), d_i(t)) \\ x_i(t) \in X_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_i}, x'_j(t) \in X_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_j}, u_i(t) \in U_i, d_i(t) \in D_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l_i} \end{cases}$$

where:

- $x_i(t) \in X_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$ internal state
- $x'_j(t) \in X_j \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_j}$ external measurable input (corresponding to the internal state of P_j corrupted by the network)
- $u_i(t) \in U_i$ control input, where set U_i is finite
- $d_i(t) \in D_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{l_i}$ external non-measurable disturbance
- $\Delta_i(t)$ time-varying delay

P_i: infinite dimensional control system (because of delays)



Controller C_i: automaton

```
C_{i}:\begin{cases} z_{i}(k+1) \in g_{i}(z_{i}(k), x'_{i}(k), x'_{i'}(k), \dots) \\ u_{i}(k+1) \in h_{i}(z_{i}(k), x'_{i}(k), x'_{i'}(k), \dots) \\ z_{i}(k) \in Z_{i}, x'_{i}(k) \in X'_{i}, x'_{i'}(k) \in X'_{i'} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n_{i'}}, u_{i}(k) \in U_{i} \end{cases}
```

where:

- $z_i(k) \in Z_i$ internal state and Z_i finite set
- $x'_i(k) \in X_i$ external measurable input (corresponding to the internal state $x_i(k)$ of P_i corrupted by the network)
- $x''_{j}(k) \in X_{j}$, external measurable input (corresponding to the internal state $x_{j}(k)$ of P_{j} corrupted by the network
- $u_i(t) \in U_i$ is the output and U_i finite set

C_i: finite, dynamic feedback and nondeterministic



Nonideal communication infrastructure

Quantization errors, time-varying network access times, time-varying communication delays, limited bandwidth, packet losses, ...



Recall

- Let Y be a finite set representing an alphabet
- A word over Y is a finite sequence with symbols in Y
- A language L over Y is a collection of words in Y

Example

- Y = the Latin alphabet
- L_1 = the Italian language = { a, e, i, o, ad, al, ... }
- L_2 = all words over Y with symbol $a = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ... \}$
- $L_3 =$ all words over Y of the form $a^n b^n$ with n integer = { ab, aabb, aaabbb, ... }
- $L_1,\,L_2,\,L_3$ are languages

Languages may be composed of a finite number or an infinite number of words

Example (continued)

 L_1 is finite while L_2 and L_3 are not!

Recall

- Let Y be a finite set representing an alphabet
- A word over Y is a finite sequence with symbols in Y
- A language L over Y is a collection of words in Y

Example

Y = the Latin alphabet

- L_1 = the Italian language = { a, e, i, o, ad, al, ... }
- L_2 = all words over Y with symbol $a = \{a, aa, aaa, aaaa, ... \}$
- L_3 = all words over Y of the form $a^n b^n$ with n integer = { ab, aabb, aaabbb, ... } L_1 , L_2 , L_3 are languages

Definition

A language is regular if it can be represented by a finite state automaton

Example (continued)

 L_1 is regular because it is finite L_2 is regular because of existence of A_2 L_3 is not regular!



Specifications: Regular languages

Consider a collection Y of left-closed right-open hyper-cubes Y_i of \mathbb{R}^n

$$Y_i = c_i + \prod_{i=1}^n [-\eta, \eta]$$

 $c_i \in 2\eta \ \mathbb{Z}^n$

 $Y = Collection of Y_i$

Y is a partition of \mathbb{R}^n



We consider a specification expressed as a regular language L_Q over Y

Example Starting from *I* reach *T* in finite time while avoiding *O*



Specifications: Regular languages

Consider a collection Y of left-closed right-open hyper-cubes Y_i of \mathbb{R}^n

$$Y_i = c_i + \prod_{i=1}^n [-\eta, \eta]$$

 $c_i \in 2\eta \ \mathbb{Z}^n$

 $Y = Collection of Y_i$

Y is a partition of \mathbb{R}^n



We consider a specification expressed as a regular language L₀ over Y

Example Starting from *I* reach *T* in finite time while avoiding *O*



 L_Q = collection of words starting with \square , ending with \square and with no

Specifications: Regular languages

Consider a collection Y of left-closed right-open hyper-cubes Y_i of \mathbb{R}^n

$$Y_i = c_i + \prod_{i=1}^n [-\eta, \eta]$$

 $c_i \in 2\eta \ \mathbb{Z}^n$

Y = Collection of Y_i Y is a partition of \mathbb{R}^n Ci

2η

Yi

2ŋ

We consider a specification expressed as a regular language L_Q over Y

Specifications handled via regular language formalism :

- Reachability
- Controlled invariance
- Obstacle avoidance
- Motion planning
- Enforcing periodic orbits
- State-based switching specifications

Example #1: Robotics

Specification: Enforcing periodic orbits





Robot KUKA IR363 @ LabAuRo in UNIVAQ

Regular language: Word obtained by concatenating symbols $w_1w_2 \dots w_{26}$

Example #2: Robot motion planning

<u>Specification:</u> Starting from the green box, reach the red box while avoiding the blue obstacles



How to formalize this specification as a regular language?



Example #3: Vehicle platooning

Specification: Maintain security distance from the vehicle in front of you



How to formalize this specification as a regular language?

<u>Safety problem:</u> Define the set of good states as those for which $|x_i - x_{i+1}| \ge d$ and consider all words with symbols in the set of good states (All words with no red symbols)



Example #4: Systems Biology (synthetic gene network*)

Specification: Enforce low concentration of protein 1 and high concentration of protein 2 while avoiding intermediate concentrations of both proteins

How to formalize this specification as a regular language?

Same approach as in Example #2





1491

* Taken from :

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON AUTOMATIC CONTROL, VOL. 57, NO. 6, JUNE 2012

Temporal Logic Control of Discrete-Time Piecewise Affine Systems

Boyan Yordanov, Member, IEEE, Jana Tůmová, Ivana Černá, Jiří Barnat, and Calin Belta, Senior Member, IEEE

Control Problem Formulation

Given

- the network of control systems P_i
- a regular language specification L_Q
- a desired accuracy $\theta > 0$
- a sampling time $\tau > 0$

Find

- a set of initial states $X_0 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$
- a collection of decentralized controllers C_i

such that the controlled network, denoted P^{C} , satisfies the specification L_{Q} up to the accuracy θ , i.e.

for any trajectory x(.) of P^C with $x(0) \in X_0$, there exists a word $q_0q_1...q_{s_f}$ of the specification L_Q such that

 $|\mathbf{x}(s\tau) - \mathbf{q}_s| \le \theta$, for all $s \in [0; s_f]$



The approach we take ...



... a complementary approach :

- Single plants with no disturbances and delays
- Control design with logic specifications
- Efficient algorithms for control design
- Single plants with disturbances
- Single plants with delays
- Single, possibly unstable, plants
- Single plant, controller and communication infrastructure
- Decentralized control of networks of control systems with logic specifications

Schedule of the course

day	morning/ afternoon	lecture	title	who	duration (min)
1	m	1	Introduction	Di Benedetto	45
			Review on internal and external stability notions for		
		2a	nonlinear systems	Pepe	45
			Break		
			Review on internal and external stability notions for	_	
		2b	nonlinear systems	Pepe	45
		3	Metric transition systems	Di Benedetto	45
		4	Iunch	Dula	0.0
	а	4	Regular languages	Pola	90
		_	Break		
		5	Relations among metric transition systems	Di Benedetto	90
2	m	6	Symbolic models for stable nonlinear systems	Pola	90
			Break		
		7	Control design and efficient algorithms	Borri	90
			lunch		
	а		Symbolic models and control for nonlinear systems with		
		8	disturbances and applications	Borri	60
		9a	Nonlinear time-delay systems: basic theory and stability	Pepe	30
			Break	_	
		9b	Nonlinear time-delay systems: basic theory and stability	Pepe	90
3	m	10	Symbolic models for time-delay systems	Pola	30
		11	Symbolic models for possibly unstable nonlinear systems	Pola	30
			Symbolic models and control for networked nonlinear		
		12	systems	Borri	30
			Break		
		13	Decentralized control of networks of nonlinear systems	Pola	30
		14	Tools	Borri	30
		15	Conclusions	Pola	30
			Keys: background basic advanced		